

## IA Template: Investigation Title

### Background Information:

- Topic selected is of suitable complexity (i.e. is not basic that could be done by internet searching)
- Relate your idea to published research – does it take it further or approach from a different angle (creativity)
- Predication explained using **scientific theory/principles**
- Sources are cited appropriately in text
- Background information provided is **relevant**
- Background information **explains** the **context** of the investigation clearly.

**Aim:** Clearly describe the point of your investigation

### Research Question:

- Relate research question to personal experience
- Statement that indicates independent thought in choice of topic and/or method or inquiry and/or presentation of findings
- Research Question or Aim **clearly stated**
- RQ/Aim includes IV and DV (and scientific name of organism if relevant)

### Research Hypothesis with Explanation:

- It is quantitative
- Is formatted as a **Research Hypothesis**
- It may be in the form of Null and Alternative Hypothesis (**ONLY if statistical test involved**)

**Independent Variable:** Describe and then give the “levels” – identify which one will be your baseline/control for comparison

- IV correctly identified with **units/range**
- Minimum 5 increments** over a suitable range for the IV (unless comparing populations)

**Dependent Variable:** Describe and then given HOW you will be measuring this

- DV correctly identified with **units** and **precision**

**Constants:** Minimum of five constants

State WHY the variable must be controlled and HOW you will control it

- List all variables to be controlled and present them as a table. For each variable:
  - How could it **impact** the results?
  - Exactly **how** will it be **controlled**? (Value, with method for achieving that value)

**Materials:**

### Procedure:

Include a diagram/annotated photo of experimental set up

- Does plan to collect data **address RQ/Aim?**
- Method to manipulate IV, including specific details of **range and increments**
- Explain how range of IV was selected
- Annotated photo of equipment or experimental set-up
- Method for recording results, including units and uncertainty of tools ( $\pm$  \_)
- Method clearly presented in step-wise format and can be repeated by others
- What statistical test(s) will be used? Why?
- Sufficient **repeats** at each increment to ensure **reliability** and allow for statistics
- Results table designed **before investigation is planned**, to guide procedure
- Full citation of published protocol, if used
- Safety/ethics/environmental concerns addressed, including **animal experimentation policy**

### Baseline Test:

If you did a preliminary test for your baseline that allowed you / encouraged you to make adjustments to your plan, include that information here (see exemplar online)

### Data Analysis:

#### Raw Data Table as appropriate including uncertainty measurements

- Raw data clearly distinguished from processed data (possibly in a separate table)
- Table title is **specific** and **clear**, including IV and DV
- Raw data collected is **sufficient** to support a **detailed** and **valid** conclusion
- Units of IV and DV present and correct
- Uncertainties correct ( $\pm$  \_)
- All data are recorded correctly and honestly
- Decimal points consistent throughout
- Decimal points consistent with **precision** of the measuring equipment

#### Qualitative Data Fully Described

- Associated qualitative data** (observations) **MUST** be recorded

#### Sample Worked Calculations, including statistics calculations

- Calculations to determine DV carried out, if necessary
- Table title is **specific** and **clear**, including IV and DV
- Calculations or statistical tests appropriate to investigation to address RQ
- Mathematics correctly applied
- Worked example calculations given

- Standard deviations included where appropriate
- Processed data (and decimal places) consistent with precision of recorded data

#### Graphs as appropriate

- Titles self-explanatory and complete
- Appropriate choice of graph
- Axes labeled clearly, including metric/SI units and uncertainties of values
- Axes scaled appropriately
- Error bars included, unless insignificant
- Error bar source (i.e. standard deviation) stated and data are correct
- Line or curve of best fit included (if appropriate)

#### General Checklist for the Entire Data Analysis Section:

- Uncertainties adjusted to reflect any calculations carried out
- Uncertainties/errors included in tables and graphs
- Uncertainties/errors justified
- Patterns and trends** in data stated, with **specific numerical reference** to the graph/tables
- Comparisons, if appropriate, are made

#### Conclusion:

##### Evaluation of Investigation

- Data related to hypothesis or research question – to what extent do they agree/disagree?
- Appropriate language used “*Supports my hypothesis...*” (not “proves” or “is correct”)
- Patterns and trends** in data are stated, with reference to graphs/tables
- Comparisons** made within the dataset, where appropriate
- Comparison** with published data and theoretical texts, if possible
- Scientific explanation** for results, with **justification**
- Associated **qualitative data** add value to explanations
- Suggestions for further investigations stated
- Sources cited appropriately

##### Evaluation of Procedures

- Reference to **error bars** (or **standard deviation**) with regard to **variability** or results and **validity** of conclusion
- Analysis of sufficiency of data to address the aim/RQ
- Analysis of appropriateness of the range of IV values with regard to aim/RQ
- Anomalous points (outliers) identified and explained, where appropriate
- Associated qualitative data referred to where appropriate

Any of the following could be addressed in a table format – this is the evaluation of possible effect on data and magnitude of error, including suggestions for control if investigation is repeated.

- Random biological variation
- Measurement/instrumentation errors
- Systemic errors (problems with methodology)
- All other limitations relevant to the investigation

Investigation Improvements and Extensions

Improvements for the limitations/sources of error/investigation:

- Are realistic and achievable
- Address the Research Question or Aim **quantitatively** (improving control of IV, DV and CV)
- Are specific and clearly explained
- Are cited where improvement relate to published protocols or techniques

**References:**

Minimum of FOUR peer-reviewed journal references

NO Wikipedia, NO “About.com,” Avoid “.com” sites

Use .gov, .edu or see Media Specialist for Galileo Password and how to use this to pull peer-reviewed journals

Overall Assessment for the IA:

COMMUNICATION				
Band	Presentation of investigation:	Report structure:	Understanding:	Subject-specific terminology:
0	Standard not reached.	Standard not reached.	Standard not reached.	Standard not reached.
2	Is <b>unclear</b> , making it difficult to understand the <b>focus, process and outcomes</b> .	Not well structured and is unclear: the necessary information on <b>focus, process and outcomes</b> is missing or is presented in an <b>incoherent or disorganized</b> way.	Of focus, process and outcomes of the investigation is <b>obscured</b> by the presence of <b>inappropriate or irrelevant</b> information.	Many errors in subject-specific terminology and conventions. Example: incorrect/missing labeling of graphs, tables, images; use of units, decimal places.
4	Is <b>clear</b> . Any errors do not hamper	Well structured and clear: the	Of report is <b>relevant</b> and	Use of <b>terminology and</b>

	understanding of the <b>focus, process and outcomes</b> .	necessary information on <b>focus, process and outcomes</b> is present and presented in a <b>coherent</b> way.	<b>concise</b> thereby facilitating a ready understanding of the focus, process and outcomes of the investigation.	<b>conventions</b> is appropriate and correct. Any errors do not hamper understanding.
--	---	--	--	--